

United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

JEROME GREEN

ORDER OF DETENTION
PENDING TRIAL

Case Number: 1:12-CR-72

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is

☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).

☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.

☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense

☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____

☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternate Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant is 32 years old and has always been a resident of Michigan. Since the age of 18, he has had various convictions for burglary, resisting arrest, assault and drugs. He recently completed serving 8 years and 4 months in the Indiana Department of Corrections for child molestation. He was released from prison on June 23, 2011, and placed on 10 years' probation, during which time he was not to reside in Michigan. According to his probation officer, however, he apparently was actually residing in Dowagiac, but traveling to Elkhart to meet his probation officer. (continued on attachment)

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the presence of the defendant for future court proceedings, based upon his repeated attempts to flee (from the Indiana courtroom, and later from the U.S. Marshals), and the fact that he remained in absconder status for four months until he was apprehended. It also appears that during the several months he was on probation in Indiana, he was actually residing in a different state.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: June 5, 2012

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
Signature of Judicial Officer
Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge
Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

During a court hearing on November 10, 2011, defendant "fled the courtroom and was held in absconder status" until his arrest this past March. See Pretrial Services report at 3. When the marshals attempted to arrest defendant, he again fled, jumping out of a second story window and breaking his foot.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)